

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

All authors, reviewers and editors must disclose any association that poses a conflict of interest in connection with the manuscript. As described in the [Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans](#) (TCPS2, 2018): “A conflict of interest may arise when activities or situations place an individual or institution in a real, potential or perceived conflict between the duties or responsibilities related to research, and personal, institutional or other interests”. In the context of publication, examples of possible or potential conflicts of interest include a close personal friendship or family relationship with members on the Editorial Board or a peer-reviewer, certain funding sources (e.g., commercial partners with a vested interest in the research), or ideological, political or religious beliefs that may bias the presentation of the research. Many interests of this sort will be managed effectively through disclosure, either in the text (e.g., explaining one’s personal political or religious perspective) or in a declaration of interests (e.g., a funder). More significant conflicts should be managed by creating a critical distance, e.g., recusal of reviewers from a manuscript.

Identifying information

Corresponding author:

Other authors (if any):

Manuscript title:

Relevant financial activities related to the manuscript submitted for publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted manuscript (e.g., grants, study design, manuscript preparation, etc.)?

Type	No	Money to author	Money to institution	Name of Entity	Comments
Grant					
Consulting fee or honorarium					
Travel support					
Fees for review activities (e.g., committees)					
Payment for writing or reviewing manuscript					
Writing assistance or administrative support					
Other					

Relevant financial activities on the margins of the work submitted for publication

Declare any financial relationships with entities that could be perceived to influence the content of the submitted manuscript (e.g., companies or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome). Public funding sources need not be disclosed.

Type of Relationship	No	Money to author	Money to institution	Name of Entity	Comments
Board membership					
Consultancy					
Employment					
Expert testimony					
Grants received or pending					
Payment for lectures					
Payment for manuscript preparation					
Royalties					
Travel, accommodations, meeting expenses					
Other (err towards full disclosure)					

Relationships with editors

Report any relationships or activities with the Journal's editors that could possibly (or be perceived to) influence the objective evaluation of the submitted manuscript.

- No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
- Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

Other relationships

Report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, the content of the submitted manuscript.

- No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest
- Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):